
Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 1996, 2(1):82–86
© 1996 by Chelonian Research Foundation

Neural Bones in Australian Chelid Turtles

SCOTT THOMSON¹ AND ARTHUR GEORGES¹

¹*Applied Ecology Research Group and CRC for Freshwater Ecology, University of Canberra, P.O. Box 1, Belconnen, ACT 2616 Australia [Fax: 61-6-201-5305; E-mail: thomson@aerg.canberra.edu.au; georges@aerg.canberra.edu.au]*

Neural bones are median elements of the turtle carapace overlying the dorsal vertebrae. The ancestral condition is thought to be a series of eight relatively narrow, hexagonal neural bones with short sides anteriorly placed, forming a continuous series from the nuchal bone anteriorly to the first suprapygal posteriorly (Pritchard, 1988). This condition is retained in many extant species of the Bataguridae, Emydidae, and Cheloniidae, but frequently modified, for example, by elimination of elements at the ends of the series, formation of one or more octagonal elements, or alteration to a series of hexagons with short sides posteriorly.

Neural bones are probably structurally important for resisting downward pressure in high-domed species, but may be a disadvantage where lateral forces in flatter forms cause torsion among carapacial elements (Pritchard, 1988). Hence, strong swimmers that move by alternating thrusts of the rear limbs, and marine turtles that alternate strokes on land, tend to have reduced neural series with areas of median contiguity between opposing pleural bones (Pritchard, 1988). Neurals are often seemingly absent in Chelidae, where a fixed pelvic girdle and extensive plastral buttressing provide alternative structural resistances to downward pressure and lateral torsion caused by the sideways action of neck extension and withdrawal.






Figure 1. Comparison of the neural region of the dorsal carapace of the sibling species pair *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Manning) (upper row, a to d) and *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Bellinger) (lower row, e to h). Scale = 5 cm. (a) AM 123042, (b) QM 59290, (c) QM 59289, (d) AM 123040, (e) AM 138387, (f) AM 138388, (g) UM 02016, (h) UM 02017.

Absence of neural bones was thought to be characteristic of all Australian chelid turtles (Boulenger, 1889; Waite, 1929; Williams, 1953; Zangerl, 1969) until neurals were reported as a consistent feature of *Chelodina oblonga* from Australia's southwest (Burbidge et al., 1974). Subsequently, neurals were also reported as inconsistent variations in five other Australian species (*Chelodina novaeguineae*, *C. siebenrocki*, *C. longicollis*, *Elseya latisternum*, and *Elseya* sp.) (Rhodin and Mittermeier, 1977). In most cases, however, these neurals were few, small, and rudimentary, not forming a contiguous series.

In this note, we report a second Australian chelid characterized by the consistent presence of well developed neurals. This feature incidentally provides a morphological basis for separating what was previously a cryptic species pair (Georges and Adams, 1992). We also argue, on examination of sections through the vertebral region, that all chelids possess neural bone elements, but that in those species traditionally regarded as lacking neurals, these elements are so reduced as to be submerged beneath the dorsal medially contiguous pleurals.

Materials and Methods. — Specimens were obtained from various collections, skeletonized, and the scutes removed to reveal the arrangement of bony elements. Longi-

tudinal and transverse sections of shell vertebrae and associated neurals and pleurals were prepared with a diamond saw for the chelid turtles *Chelodina longicollis*, *C. oblonga*, *Emydura* sp. aff. *krefftii* (Fraser Island), *Emydura* sp. aff. *subglobosa* (Sleisbeck), and *Elseya dentata*, as well as for the trionychid *Aspideretes hurum*. Where exposed neurals were present, sections were arranged to transect one or more of them. Sections were examined under a microscope to ascertain the presence of sutures between the various elements.

Specimens Examined. — All unregistered specimens that remained intact following examination were lodged with the Queensland Museum. The sectioned specimens remain in the collection of the University of Canberra. Names given to undescribed species follow those of Georges and Adams (1992). Abbreviations: AM, Australian Museum; QM, Queensland Museum; NTM, Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory; UM, University of Michigan field series; UC, University of Canberra; PCHP, Peter C.H. Pritchard personal collection.

Chelodina longicollis: QM 59266-68, 59274, 59281-82, UC 0164, 0166, 0174; *Chelodina oblonga*: QM 59272-74, UC 0161-63; *Chelodina expansa*: QM 59284; *Chelodina rugosa*: QM 59264; *Elseya dentata* (Daly River, N.T.): NTM 13319, 13521, 16330, QM 59277-80, UC 0179; *Elseya* sp. aff. *dentata* (South Alligator River, N.T.): AM 128002, 128004, QM 59285-88; *Elseya latisternum*: AM 123037, 123039, 125474-75, QM 48054-55; *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Manning River, N.S.W.): AM 123040, 123042, QM 59289-90; *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Bellinger River, N.S.W.): AM 138387-88, UM 02016-17; *Elseya novaeguineae* (Sepik River, New Guinea): AM 42662, 125038; *Emydura* sp. aff. *krefftii* (Fraser Island, Qld.): QM 59275-76; *Emydura* sp. aff. *subglobosa* (Sleisbeck, Katherine River, N.T.): NTM 13428, 13433, UC 0171-72, 0177; *Aspideretes hurum* (no data): UC 0167; *Chelus fimbriatus* (Venezuela): PCHP 3985; *Pelomedusa subrufa* (no data): UC 0221; *Phrynops gibbus* (no data): UC 0222.

Results. — Well developed neural bones forming a contiguous series were observed in specimens of *Aspideretes hurum* ($n = 1$, pleural pair VIII was in medial contact), *Pelomedusa subrufa* ($n = 1$, pleural pair VIII was in medial contact), *Chelus fimbriatus* ($n = 1$, pleural pair VIII was in medial contact), *Phrynops gibbus* ($n = 1$, pleural pair I and V to VIII were in medial contact), *Chelodina oblonga* ($n = 6$, pleural pairs I and VIII were in medial contact in all specimens with considerable variation for other pleural pairs), and *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Manning River) ($n = 4$, pleural pairs I and VI to VIII were in contact in all specimens and pleural pair V in one specimen and II in two specimens) (Fig. 1). Neural bones were most developed in *Chelus*, being expanded both horizontally and vertically, yielding much enlarged canals for the longissimus dorsi muscles. Rudimentary exposed neurals, small and isolated, were evident as individual variants in *Chelodina longicollis* (1 of 9, UC 0166), *Elseya novaeguineae* (1 of 2, AM 42662), and *Elseya* sp. aff. *dentata* (South Alligator




Figure 2. A. Transverse section through the first neural of *Aspideretes hurum* (UC 0167) showing the suture between the wide neural bone (N) and the vertebral neural arch (V). B. Transverse section through carapace of *Chelodina longicollis* (UC 0166) at pleural IV showing a narrow midline neural bone, lateral pleurals (P) and underlying vertebral neural arch. C. Transverse section through *Emydura* sp. aff. *subglobosa* (UC 0177) at pleural IV showing location of a rudimentary neural bone underneath medially contiguous pleurals.

River, N.T.) (1 of 6, QM 59285). Table 1 shows the neural formulae for all these specimens, following the conventions of Pritchard (1988).

No exposed neurals were evident in any of the specimens of *Elseya latisternum* ($n = 6$), *Emydura* sp. aff. *krefftii* ($n = 2$), *Em.* sp. aff. *subglobosa* (Sleisbeck) ($n = 5$), *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Bellinger) ($n = 4$) (Fig. 1), *Elseya dentata* ($n = 8$), *Chelodina expansa* ($n = 1$), or *Chelodina rugosa* ($n = 1$).

The presence of well developed exposed neurals in all four specimens of the undescribed species from the Manning drainage of New South Wales and their absence in all four individuals of its sister taxon (Georges and Adams, 1992) from the Bellinger River was a substantial and significant difference between these sibling taxa (Fisher Exact Test, $P < 0.05$).

A transverse section through the vertebral region of *Aspideretes hurum* revealed a suture between the neural bone and the underlying vertebral neural arch (Fig. 2A). Corresponding sections of a specimen of *Chelodina longicollis* with three exposed neurals revealed sutures similar to those observed in *A. hurum* (Fig. 2B), as did sections of *C. longicollis*, *Elseya dentata*, and *Em.* sp. aff. *subglobosa* (Sleisbeck) (Fig. 2C) in the absence of exposed neural bones.

Discussion. — This study establishes the undescribed *Elseya* from the Manning drainage of New South Wales as the second Australian chelid with well developed neural bones. The presence of neurals in this species and their absence in its sister taxon from the Bellinger River is a major discrete morphological difference in what was formerly a cryptic species pair (Georges and Adams, 1992). This species pair shows fixed differences at 20% of electrophoretic loci, despite little if any external morphological difference. This provides an important example of where surveys based on molecular techniques can serve to focus attention on morphological features that might otherwise have gone undetected.

The Manning River *Elseya* has a rather short series of 3 to 5 neurals, a condition similar to that found in *Phrynops gibbus* (Chelidae). There is no obvious lateral expansion of the rib heads to accommodate enlarged longissimus dorsi muscles and in fact the ribs fit quite closely to the sides of the neural arches. This combination is also seen in *Pelomedusa*

subrufa (Pelomedusidae) and is therefore considered to be the primitive condition.

If the function of well developed neurals is to add stability to shells particularly subject to lateral torsion (Pritchard, 1988) and this function is supplanted in chelids by the presence of a fixed pelvic girdle and extensive buttressing, then we would expect to see a correlation between the presence of neurals in chelid turtles and the lack of development of plastral buttresses. Indeed, anterior plastral buttressing is poorly developed in *Chelodina oblonga*, compared to other species of similar body form and habits in the *Chelodina expansa* group, and *Chelodina oblonga* has well developed neurals. Similarly, among the short-necked chelid turtles of Australia (excluding *Pseudemydura*), the Manning River form of *Elseya* which has well developed neurals, has the least developed anterior bridge buttresses.

On the basis of the bone sections, we suggest that there are three neural character states:

1. Neural bones small, rudimentary, not visible in dorsal view, being obscured entirely by the pleurals which meet medially for the full length of their common midline suture.

2. Neural bones small, rudimentary, but exposed as small bony elements along the carapace midline. They do not form a contiguous series and pleural to pleural sutures make the predominant contribution to the midline suture.

3. Neural bones well developed and dorsally exposed, forming a contiguous midline series of two or more discrete elements. Pritchard (1988) has further subdivided this character state, based on a study of a greater range of specimens than examined here.

The demonstration of subsurface neural elements sutured to the neural arches of the dorsal vertebrae, with the possibility that neurals of some form may be present in all chelids, requires us to rethink our character definitions. The character state "neurals absent" should be instead "exposed neurals absent" and "neurals present" should become "neurals exposed". Also it will be necessary to appreciate that secondary development of exposed neurals may not imply reacquisition of a structure once lost but rather expansion of a persistent but rudimentary element.

Whether the subsurface neural elements are vestigial (that is, lacking function) is not clear. The possibility exists




Figure 3. Occurrence of loss of exposed neurals mapped on the currently hypothesized phylogeny of Australian chelids (Georges and Adams, 1992). Open squares assume that in the Manning River *Elseya* neurals are ancestral and in *C. oblonga* they are secondarily derived. Hatched squares assume that both *C. oblonga* and *Elseya* sp. (Manning) retain ancestral neurals. Note: *Elusor macrurus* and *Rheodytes leukops* have been left out of this phylogeny for two reasons, 1) they were inadequately resolved (forming a trichotomy with the *Elseya dentata* – *Emydura* groups) and 2) they will have no effect on the neural character state, both species lacking exposed neurals.

that by spanning the midline carapace suture from below, they reinforce it and relieve lateral pressure that would otherwise come to bear on the neural arches should the shell be subjected to downward force. Such a function would explain their retention.

Matching the distribution of well developed neurals among chelid turtles with current phylogenetic hypotheses is problematic (Gaffney, 1977). Neurals are well developed in the South American *Chelus fimbriatus*, *Hydromedusa* spp., and the *Phrynops geoffroanus* complex (Rhodin and Mittermeier, 1983; Pritchard, 1988), and in the Australian *Chelodina oblonga* (Burbidge et al., 1974), and *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Manning) (present study). The character also shows great individual variability in *Phrynops nasutus* and *P. gibbus* (Pritchard, 1988). Clearly, either loss of exposed neurals has occurred independently many times, or well developed neurals have been secondarily derived independently many times, or a combination of the two is true.

It is not clear whether the well developed neurals of *Chelodina oblonga* or *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Manning) are ancestral or secondarily derived. Consideration of the currently hypothesized phylogeny for Australian chelids (Georges and Adams, 1992) indicates that if exposed neurals are ancestral for both species, then loss of exposed neurals must have occurred independently at least five times in their evolutionary history, and twice in *Chelodina* alone (Fig. 3, hatched squares).

We suggest instead that the presence of exposed neurals is a retained ancestral state in only *Elseya* sp. aff. *latisternum* (Manning), possessed in common with *Phrynops gibbus* and *Pelomedusa subrufa*, whereas in *Chelodina oblonga* it is secondarily derived. In this scenario, the loss of exposed neurals would have occurred independently only four times, and only once in *Chelodina* (Fig. 3, open squares). Compelling evidence is building to suggest that the closest living

Table 1. Neural formulae of specimens examined possessing exposed neurals. Also shown is the number of pleural pairs which make midline contact. Pleural pairs numbered I to VIII, anterior to posterior.

Species	Specimen	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5	N6	N7	N8	Pleural Pairs in Contact
<i>Aspideretes hurum</i>	UC 0167	6P	6P	6P	6P	6A	6A	6A	5A	VIII
<i>Pelomedusa subrufa</i>	UC 0221	6A	6A	6A	6A	6A	6A	—	—	VIII
<i>Chelodina longicollis</i>	UC 0166	—	4	3P	5	—	—	—	—	ALL
<i>Chelodina oblonga</i>	QM 59283	5P	7A	5A	5A	6A	6A	5A	5	I, VII, VIII
	QM 59272	6P	5P	4A	5A	5A	6A	7A	—	I, VIII
	QM 59273	6P	5P	4P	4P	5A	5A	5A	3	I, VI, VII, VIII
	UC 0163	—	6A	7A	5A	5A	6A	5A	5	I, VII, VIII
	UC 0162	—	3A	8A	8A	—	5	—	—	I, II, V, VI, VII, VIII
	UC 0161	—	5A	6P	5A	—	5A	—	—	I, V, VI, VII, VIII
<i>Chelus fimbriatus</i>	PCHP 3985	6P	6A	6A	6A	6A	6A	6A	—	VIII
<i>Elseya novaeguineae</i>	AM 42662	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	ALL
<i>Elseya</i> sp. (Manning)	AM 123040	—	—	6A	6A	6A	6P	—	—	I, VI, VII, VIII
	AM 123042	—	—	5A	6A	6A	—	—	—	I, II, V, VI, VII, VIII
	QM 59289	—	5P	6A	6A	6A	5A	—	—	I, VI, VII, VIII
	QM 59290	—	6A	6A	6A	6A	5A	—	—	I, II, VI, VII, VIII
<i>Elseya</i> sp. (S. Alligator)	QM 59286	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	—	ALL
<i>Phrynops gibbus</i>	UC 0222	5	6A	6A	6A	6A	—	—	—	I, V, VI, VII, VIII

relatives of *Chelodina oblonga* are among the *Chelodina longicollis* group of species (including *C. novaeguineae*, *C. steindachneri*, *C. mccordi*, *C. reimanni*, and *C. pritchardi*) rather than the *C. expansa* group to which it bears the closest superficial similarity (including *C. parkeri*, *C. rugosa*, and *C. siebenrocki*). Electrophoretic comparisons yielded five synapomorphies uniting *C. oblonga* with the *C. longicollis* group (Georges and Adams, 1992), a result confirmed by recent comparisons of 12S mitochondrial gene sequences (J. Seddon, *pers. comm.*). A more distant relationship may explain the presence of well developed neurals in *C. oblonga* and the absence of exposed neurals in the *C. expansa* group of species.

Acknowledgments. — We would like to thank the many people who provided specimens for this study, including Ross Sadlier (Australian Museum), Patrick Couper (Queensland Museum), Paul Horner (NTM), John Cann, Peter Pritchard, and Gerald Kuchling. Bone sections were kindly prepared by Glen Fisher and the drawings were prepared by Rainer Rehwinkel. Peter Pritchard, Anders Rhodin, Russell Mittermeier, and the staff and students of the Applied Ecology Research Group provided many useful criticisms of an early draft of this paper.

Literature Cited

- BOULENGER, G.A. 1889. Catalogue of the Chelonians, Rhynchocephalians and Crocodiles in the British Museum (Natural History). London: Taylor and Francis, 311 pp.
- BURBIDGE, A.A., KIRSCH, J., AND MAIN, A.R. 1974. Relationships within the Chelidae (Testudines: Pleurodira) of Australia and New Guinea. *Copeia* 1974:392-409.
- GAFFNEY, E.S. 1977. The side necked turtle family Chelidae: a theory of relationships using shared derived characters. *Amer. Mus. Novitates* 2620:1-28.
- GEORGES, A., AND ADAMS, M. 1992. A phylogeny for Australian chelid turtles based on allozyme electrophoresis. *Austral. J. Zool.* 40:453-476.
- PRITCHARD, P.C.H. 1988. A survey of neural bone variation among recent chelonian species, with functional interpretations. *Acta Zool. Cracov.* 31(26):625-686.
- RHODIN, A.G.J., AND MITTERMEIER, R.A. 1977. Neural bones in chelid turtles from Australia and New Guinea. *Copeia* 1977:370-372.
- RHODIN, A.G.J., AND MITTERMEIER, R.A. 1983. Description of *Phrynops williamsi*, a new species of chelid turtle of the *P. geoffroanus* complex. In: Rhodin, A.G.J., and Miyata, K. (Eds.). *Advances in Herpetology and Evolutionary Biology*. Cambridge: Museum of Comparative Zoology, pp. 58-73.
- WAITE, E.R. 1929. The Reptiles and Amphibians of South Australia. *Handbooks of the Flora and Fauna of South Australia*. Adelaide: British Science Guild, 270 pp.
- WILLIAMS, E.E. 1953. Fossils and the distribution of chelid turtles. 1. "*Hydraspis*" *leithii* (Carter) in the Eocene of India is a Pelomedusid. *Breviora* 13:1-8.
- ZANGERL, R. 1969. The turtle shell. In: Gans, C., Bellairs, A.d'A., and Parsons, T.S. (Eds.). *Biology of the Reptilia*. Vol. 1. Morphology A. London: Academic Press, pp. 311-340.

Received: 16 May 1995. *Accepted:* 20 August 1995.